Name & address of sender

date

Name and address of MP

Sir or Madame

Regarding: **COVID19 Vaccine Passports**

I write to formally request you, as my local Member of Parliament and therefore my representative to UK Government, to represent the rights and interests of my family and myself in Parliament by **opposing the introduction of vaccine passports and any other form of coercion to accept vaccination, and discrimination against people who elect not to be vaccinated.**

Proceeding with the plan for vaccine passports is not in the interest of the country or your political party or your constituents. This is clear, not just from the disquiet and controversy surrounding the whole issue, but also from the latest data for the growing numbers of medical injuries and deaths associated with the novel viral vector & mRNA ‘vaccines’ and their declining effectiveness statistics.

Government-mandated coercion to accept novel COVID19 vaccines with a growing track record of causing deaths and injuries, and unknown long-term health & safety impacts, via the implementation of restrictions on unvaccinated people, is completely unjustified by the relevant vaccine performance and disease data, and breaches UK Law[[1]](#footnote-1), morality and ethics, medically and in terms of discrimination and the right not to suffer an assault on one’s person.

| **Delta variant data** | Unvaccinated | Vaccinated | **Derived probability of death** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Public Health England data 23rd July 2021[[2]](#footnote-2) | 121,402 cases165 deaths | 82,864 cases289 deaths | **Unvaccinated 0.1%****Vaccinated 0.3%** |

The above tabulation of data from Public Health England (PHE) is just one example of the uncertainty regarding the safety of COVID19 ‘vaccines’; no drug or medical procedure should be forced on anyone, and especially not a drug that is already known to incur a significant probability of medical injury or death, as is clearly the case for these novel COVID19 ‘vaccines’. Then, regarding the effectiveness of the ‘vaccines’, we only need read the latest PHE ‘Simple summary’ for the UK to see that, with almost 90% of the UK adult population having been injected with at least one dose of ‘vaccine’, “*Between 21 July 2021 and 27 July 2021, there have been 480 deaths within 28 days of a positive coronavirus test. This shows an increase of 40.4% compared to the previous 7 days*.”

The above data clearly illustrate that here is a serious safety issue with these novel COVID19 ‘vaccines’. No other drug or medical procedure in our modern history has been allowed to continue in use after it was known to have resulted in, or been linked to, more than a handful of deaths. There are alternatives to these vaccines; simple, safe effective measures that target the specific parameters that render individuals most susceptible to COVID19 are known, despite efforts to hide, suppress, discredit or ban them.

If you vote for this draconian measure of vaccine passports and associated restrictions, you are dragging this country backwards in terms of human rights and basic freedoms, and you are in danger of tearing apart your party and this country as a whole. ***Do you really want our children and grandchildren to inherit a country where the government can mandate any drug or medical procedure by actively encouraging discrimination against anyone who refuses it?***

The introduction and use of vaccine passports to restrict the activities and movements of persons who elect to not be injected with novel COVID19 ‘vaccines’ that are at best partially effective and at worst deadly, and represents:

* coercion to undergo medical treatment, and;
* a breach of the right to autonomy and bodily integrity[[3]](#footnote-3), and;
* discrimination against a group of people on the basis of their beliefs[[4]](#footnote-4), and;
* a direct assault on persons by forcing or coercing them to allow themselves to be injected with a substance that can cause death and/or injury, and;
* An admission that the ‘vaccines’ are ineffective, since, if they were effective, persons who freely elect to be vaccinated would be protected against infection and there would be no need to push others, who choose alternative methods to protect themselves, to also be vaccinated. Furthermore;
* As the so-called ‘vaccines’ did not undergo full testing amongst all groups over an adequate time period to assess all possible side effects, and are only authorised under emergency powers, the question of whether these ‘vaccines’ are ‘experimental’ and therefore subject to the 1947 Nuremberg Code, is still debatable. However, the ethics of coercing people into being vaccinated is clearly in breach of the spirit and intent of human rights and medical ethics enshrined in the 1947 Nuremberg code and 1964 Helsinki Declaration.

There are massive concerns around the whole issue of how we test for COVID19[[5]](#footnote-5), how deaths are assigned to this disease rather than to the primary cause of death[[6]](#footnote-6) and why simple effective measures for preventing and treating COVID19 have been suppressed or even banned[[7]](#footnote-7), but the greatest long-term concern right now is the proposed draconian measure of legally-enshrined discrimination against those who do not want to be injected with a substance that the government wants them to have injected into them. In this instance, the substance in question is a set of highly novel, incompletely-tested ‘vaccines’ that are being heavily touted by government against the advice of numerous scientists, doctors, microbiologists, virologists and analysts. This is an oppression and loss of freedom that no one who cares about the future of our democracy should stand by and accept without opposition.

‘*Never, in history, has medical debate been so effectively oppressed and doctors wishing to share simple truths been so ruthlessly silenced.*’ I have personally talked to so many doctors, nurses, scientists and others in the employ of UK government establishments or organisations, over the past few months, who are fully aware of how wrong and immensely damaging the whole government response is, and has been, to the COVID19 problem, but are too scared to speak out, for fear of victimisation and losing their jobs. As Thomas Jefferson said, “When the people are afraid of the government, that's tyranny”; is this the Britain that you, as a Member of Parliament, want to create? If not, please stop the tyranny and vote against the oppressive and backward step of government-mandated discrimination on the basis of vaccine status, i.e. vote against the introduction of vaccine passports.

***Kindly do not simply reply to this letter with standard government narrative claiming that the so-called vaccines represent the best available means of combatting COVID19, when we know very well that simple, harmless, proven measures are available to prevent and treat infection by the SARS2 corona virus.***

Yours Sincerely,

1. In particular, Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984, Paragraph 45E Medical treatment states that, in relation to health regulations set out in para’s 45B & 45C:

(1) Regulations under section 45B or 45C may not include provision requiring a person to undergo medical treatment.

(2) “ Medical treatment ” includes vaccination and other prophylactic treatment. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Taken direct from Table 5 in Public Health England Technical briefing 19, ignoring ‘unlinked’ cases as there is no indication whether these are vaccinated or not. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Under Article 8 of the European Convention of Human Rights [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. A person with a specific belief against this vaccine or any vaccines may be protected under the Equality Act if they show their belief meets the standard of protected philosophical belief [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. PCR tests that are run with so many cycles that false positives are guaranteed, unhygienic PCR test kits, children being told that they must jam cotton bud sticks up their noses, etc. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. For no other disease or condition is death by any cause, related or unrelated, within a month or so of having had a positive test result for the disease, automatically added to the statistics recorded for that disease. Freedom of information requests continue to show that for the vast majority of ‘COVID deaths ‘, COVID19 was not the primary cause of death. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Supplementation with vitamin D, addressing obesity, treating serious COVID19 cases with Ivermectin or similar established medicines, etc, etc. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)