

Dr Mike Yeadon on Immunity, Vaccines, Vaccine Passports and Depopulation

This is the transcript of Dr Mike Yeadon's talk. You can listen to the video here:

<https://thewhiterose.uk/dr-mike-yeadon-on-boosters-i-can-see-no-sensible-interpretation-other-than-a-serious-attempt-at-mass-depopulation/>

This system is being put in place using lies, and it's been put in place using lies for some purpose, and I believe that purpose is complete totalitarian control. I think the purpose of that is going to be mass depopulation.

I can't think of a single, benign interpretation for the simple creation of these top-up vaccines, let alone for the lies that surround them. And I'm absolutely terrified that the combination of vaccine passports and top-up vaccines is going to lead to mass depopulation – deliberate execution potentially of billions of people.

Over the last three months, I've spoken to eight professors at UK universities whose discipline includes immunology, and I've discussed with them what I'm going to tell you about virus variants. And they've all agreed with my interpretation. None of them will say anything, and it's because I won't get a grant from the Wellcome Trust or the Medical Research Council, as suggested. I won't get grants if I speak about this stuff. So, I want you to know that the academics in your country are easily pushed around, frankly, by both politicians and people with lots of money.

Of the things that your governments and advisers have misled you about, I think most severely and honestly, frightens me and is the catalyst for me. Making these recordings is the issue of virus variants and the following issue, the related issue of top-up vaccines or variant vaccines.

Let me just quickly explain. This is really, really critical to your very survival. It's quite normal for RNA viruses like SARS-CoV-2, when it replicates, to make typographical errors. That's what it does – typos. It's got a very good error detection, error correction system, so it doesn't make too many typos, but it does make some and those are called variants. But it's really important that you know that if you find the variant that's most different from the sequence identified in Wuhan, that variant, at most distance, is only 0.3 percent different from the original sequence.

I'll say it another way: If you find the most different variants, it's 99.7 percent identical to the original one. And I can assure you, and I'm going to explain why, I'm sure that that amount of difference is absolutely not possibly able to represent itself to you as a different virus. That's what people are leading you to think: that maybe variants will escape your immunity, either caused by a vaccine or by natural infection, and it's an absolute lie.

So, why do I know that? 17/18 years ago, there was a virus called SARS, which is actually very similar to SARS-CoV-2. It's 80 percent similar to SARS-CoV-2. And there were some experiments done last year, where they found people who had been infected by SARS 17 years earlier, and asked them to donate blood. And they did, and there were tests done on their cells in that blood, and they wanted to know, were the circulating immune cells still able to recognise SARS 17 years later – and they were. They all still had memory T-cells circulating around their body.

That's great. That's what I would have expected. They also did a really important experiment: They showed those same people's T-cells, the new virus, SARS-CoV-2, and unsurprisingly to me, they recognise that new virus.

And that's because the virus is 80 percent similar to the old one – 20 percent difference.

So, just to say again – a 20 percent difference was not enough to kid these people's immune system that it was a new virus. It easily recognised it as a sibling, a brother, a cousin of something they'd conquered already.

So, when your government scientists tell you that a variant, that's 0.3 percent different from SARS

could masquerade as a new virus and be a threat to your health, you should know, and I'm telling you, they are lying. If they're lying, and they are, why is the pharmaceutical industry making top-up vaccines? They are making them.

You should be terrified at this point as I am, because there's absolutely no possible justification for their manufacture. But they're being made, and the world's medicines regulators have said: "Because they're quite similar to the original vaccines (the ones that are being given now), we won't be asking them to do any clinical safety studies."

So, let me just say again: The variants are not different enough to represent a threat to use. **You do not need to top up vaccines, yet they are being made, and the regulators have more or less waved them through.**

I'm very frightened of that. There's no possible benign interpretation of this. I believe that they're going to be used to damage your health and possibly kill you. Seriously, I can see no sensible interpretation other than a serious attempt at mass depopulation.

This will provide the tools to do it and plausible deniability, because they'll create another story about some sort of biological threats, and you'll line up and get your top-up vaccines in a few months or a year or so.

Later you'll die of some peculiar explicable syndrome, and they won't be able to associate it with the top-up vaccines. But that's my belief, that they're lying to you about variants, so they can make damaging top-up vaccines that you don't need at all. And I think they will be used for malign purposes. And if you don't wake up, that's what's going to happen, I think, during next year.

So, you don't need vaccines, and you don't need any of the measures that have been introduced at all. So, it's not just a shade of opinion here, I would say objectively, none of those things were ever required. And yet, governments and their scientific advisers have lied to us for a year, and I think they've just produced mayhem, potentially to fatal damage to our economies and civil societies.

You don't need to be vaccinated by inadequately tested and somewhat dangerous gene-based spike protein inducing proteins, and you don't need to do what you're told by corrupt scientist who are apparently advising our government.

It's simply not true that the variants that it throws off as it replicates are sufficiently different from each other to represent any threat at all. It's not even unlikely – it's impossible based on the thousands and thousands of variants that have been formed. They're all very, very similar to the original. In fact, I joke about them and call them same-iants because they're so similar, you might as well see them as the same.

So, you've been infected, you'll mostly survive unless you're very close to death anyway. You're then immune, probably for decades, possibly for life. **It's not true that the variants represent any kind of threat. It's not true that you need a top-up vaccine.**

Most of you don't need a vaccine at all. Most of you would be well advised to stay away from experimental vaccines, unfortunately, that do come with a blood clot risk. Why would you take a risk with your health for something that's not a threat to you?

Someone who's been vaccinated doesn't need to see someone else's vaccine passport and someone not vaccinated doesn't need to see anyone else's vaccine passport. They don't provide you with any safety at all. They're not required at all.

What they provide, though, is complete control over your movements to whoever controls the database that your vaccination status is connected to.

Let me quickly explain it. I hope you grasp this, because this is not optional. This is what's going to take over your life in a way that George Orwell in '1984' didn't even dream of.

Imagine you've been vaccinated and you've been awarded a vaccine passport on an app. It's going

to be the world's first database that contains your name and unique digital ID in the same format as absolutely everybody else on the planet – on the same database. And it'll have like an editable health related flag that will say 'thumb up' that you've got it, you've been vaccinated or maybe a red flag if you haven't been.

Now the algorithm, the rules, that works out what you can do with or without your vaccine passport – that's what's going to control the rest of your lives until you die.

But imagine if they say: 'Now you need your valid vaccine passport to enter any large shopping complex and then every large store,' that's going to provide a coercive pressure on people who've chosen not to be vaccinated – they'll have to get vaccinated.

It's an illegal thing for your government to coerce you to accept any medical treatment, and it's against the Nuremberg code that was put in place after the Nazi doctors were convicted of performing experiments on people, including lethal experiments. That prevents you coercing people to take experimental therapies. Now they're taking part in the medical experiments against their will.

But if this vaccine passport system is up and running, and you're told that you can't enter any shop at all without beeping your vaccine passport, now you can't enter any retail establishment. You can't control what those rules are. You've no idea who's setting those rules. Don't allow their system to come into force. It's going to be used to coerce you.

And let me give you another example. You know those variants? The top-up vaccines I spoke about? I believe if you allow vaccine passports to come into force, you'll be pinged one day and it'll advise you to go to the medical centre to have your top-up vaccine. And if you choose not to, your vaccine passport validity will expire, which means you won't be able to enter a shop. You may not eventually be able to use your bank card. All somebody needs to do, is set a rule that says, as after given a date, before any bank card can be used, a vaccine passport has to be beeped onto the card reader.

So, just take it from me. **You don't need vaccine passports. They provide nothing whatsoever to you or anybody else in relation to safety. But it will give away to whoever controls that database and the rules complete control over everything you do.**

Let me give you one last example: you're owning this vaccine passport and it pings. And in addition to advising you, you need to come to the health centre for your top-up vaccine, perhaps your second one in a year, it also advises you that you need to bring your small grandchild down, because your daughter hasn't brought the little lad in yet. And they say, if you don't bring that grandchild down, your vaccine passport will expire and that of your daughter as well.

Now, try resisting that. Simply think. This system is being put in place using lies, and it's being put in place using lies for some purpose, and I believe that purpose is complete totalitarian control. And I think the purpose of that is going to be mass depopulation. I can't think of a single, benign interpretation for the simple creation of these top-up vaccines, let alone the lies that surround them. And I'm absolutely terrified that the combination of vaccine passports and top-up vaccines is going to lead to mass depopulation – deliberate execution, potentially of billions of people.

You can stop it. Do not allow it to be on an interoperable global fixed format database, because that will be the end of human freedom. I just see no way of recovering from that, once the system is up and running.

The frustration I feel, is that we simply can't get this information to very many people and a very few of the people who even hear this will do anything with it. That's why I'm literally at the end of my tether I've tried and tried, and I can see that the people running this have played an absolute blinder. They must have thought about it for some time, and basically they've used a relatively small number of lies which they've chosen to be quite close to something that's plausible. And then they've just pounded this script of half a dozen points relentlessly. And the only other thing they've

needed is to frighten people to death and then censor everybody else. That's all they needed to do, really. Simple story, repeated censorship and fear. And here we are.

So, just remember we're subject to censorship. So, of course, I've been busy as hell, and of course you've never heard of me. This is the problem now. Almost no one has heard of me or anyone speaking similarly. Almost nobody. In fact, one of the reasons I'm very disappointed is, I've had a sort of realisation over recent weeks that it really doesn't matter what we do. If we do the things that those operating this global fraud expect, we will lose. They already know we're doing these things.

They've mapped it out and they've worked out: what are the things that are most likely to happen? It'll be: 'Well, there'll be some noisy individuals. Well, as long as we can control the amount of the share of voice they get, then we don't need to be worried about them.' And I'm worried that I've done absolutely nothing that differs from what they have already modelled and war-gamed, and that did not work, or they wouldn't have moved off with this plan.

So, unfortunately, **the conclusion I've got is, collectively, we need to do something unexpected, and if we do expected things, we will lose.** That's a bit pessimistic, but forgive me, I've been a research scientist all my life, not a propagandist. It's only recently I've realised that I'm in a trap really, that I'm playing my role, almost like an icon in their game. I'm a representative of a tiny number of people who will be noisy, and they're fine with that.

The government knows who I am. I know people in the government and I've been advised they knew who I am, but they won't do anything because I'm ineffective. They haven't left me alone because I'm off target, they've left me alone because they know I can't reach anybody.

I briefly worked with the UK chief scientific adviser, Sir Patrick Vallance, when he was, as I was, a researcher in the Wellcome Research Labs in about the late 1980s. I know who he is, he knows who I am, but **they leave me alone because I'm ineffective. If I become effective, that would be different. But I think they're not worried because they have complete control of the mass media, TV, radio, newspapers, internet.**

The only people who will see me are really people already looking for information. So, I doubt, I'll convert very many people, because people who need to be converted are not looking. They're looking at main media and that message is completely controlled and hermetically sealed.

If we carry on doing the same things, we're just playing out our expected role in a simulation that whoever's running this, has already thought about. I don't want to worry you, but it's probably true, isn't it?

We don't know very much about them [the vaccines]. We don't know anything at all about the potential for long term side effects. So if it turns out, for example, that they induce cancer in one in ten people, I don't think that's likely, but since we don't know anything, anything's possible, right? So it's inappropriate to be giving these to more than the most vulnerable people.

When they first were emergency-used authorised, and they were to be used only in the elderly and already ill, I could understand why they were doing that. But then the UK, the government, said: now we've got the list of everybody else all the way down to the age of 18, that we want all of them offered the vaccine, and it's clearly more than an offer, and now they're even running studies in children who don't suffer from covid-19.

Not a single child in the UK who was well has caught this virus and died – not one. **But there are ten million children under the age of ten who, I'm confident, they are going to be wanting to vaccinate now. This is completely wrong. There's simply no basis for doing this. It will result in injury and death of some people. Every medicine has some side effects, so you would never use it on scale, unless the people receiving it were at risk of the illness. And they're not.**

So, you'll notice if you ask some friends who've been vaccinated: Were they informed in writing that these are experimental medicines that have not yet been approved? If you weren't, you were administered an experimental agent, without your consent.

That is contrary to the Nuremberg code, a code put in place after World War II, recognising the foul human experiments, performed by Nazi doctors. The Nazi doctors, by the way, were pretty much all hanged for their crimes against humanity. And **I'm sorry to say that NHS doctors who are administering these agents to people who are not at risk from the virus, and are not telling them that they're experimental, are also breaching the Nuremberg code, and I believe they're doing it knowingly.**

I think there should be a Nuremberg II and I think those physicians should be tried on those charges and appropriate sentences handed down. So, if you're one of these physicians, I hope to be around to see you in the court.

When this virus was first being talked about, before it kind of landed in each of our countries, I think we were given exaggerated suggestions of exaggerated risks. Early on, people were talking about maybe three percent of people who were infected would die, which is truly awful. Something like influenza, it's normally thought to be about 0.1 percent – one in a thousand. But even that doesn't give you any idea of your relative risk.

And let me just tell you this anyway: The older you get, the lower the chance that you'll make it to your next birthday. So, if you're 60, as I am, statistically, I've got about a 99 percent chance of making my next birthday. But by the time I reach my mid 80s, I've only got about a 50 percent chance of making my next birthday. Inevitably, you reach a point where you don't turn the cards over.

If you think of the risk of dying for having been infected by influenza, frankly, the older you get and the iller you already are, the greater the risk that that will be what carries you off. You know, something has to. And this virus that causes covid-19 is pretty much like that. It's just a slightly bigger risk for you – if you're above 70 and already ill, it is a bigger risk to you than flu. Not a great deal more, but it's slightly worse.

But the corollary is also true. **If you're younger than 70 and you don't have prior illnesses, it's less of a risk to your health than is influenza. So, it's just absurd that you should be happy or willing to let your economy and civil society be smashed for something which represents for almost everyone in working, a lower risk than influenza. But that's true. It was never necessary for us to have done anything. We didn't need to do anything, lockdowns, masks, testing, vaccines, even.**

This is certainly a time for people who know or suspect, that what is being said to you by our politicians and scientific advisers, is wrong. **It's time to stand up and say no. Withdraw your consent. There's something awful happening. You know it. Those people of you who are listening to me, who've suspected for some time that this isn't right, don't look away.**

Don't look away. It's time now to find other people like you who are not quite sure, but really suspicious. Find somebody else and talk to them, because if you find someone else who thinks, God, I'm glad you said that because I've thought this is lies as well, then the two of you can go and find a third person. Once you've woken up and realise you're being lied to, it's very frightening. You don't have to do anything with that at first, except don't forget that realisation. Find someone else who also feels the same.