October 2022

**Geoengineering Concerns** additional points below, suggested use as key points for counter response letter.

Dear xxxx

Condensation trails vs. chemical trails:

1. You have misunderstand the definition of condensation trails and chemical trails (also known as geoengineering, cloud seeding, weather modification, etc.);
2. I am not concerned about condensation trails only chemical trails (geoengineering);
3. My letter requested that you look at evidence and facts shared, also readily available via the internet ;
4. Therefore, please re-read my letter and information within and answer fully all of the concerns and all of the questions raised with scientific-evidence.

A templated, rapid or incomplete response can demonstrate any one of the following:

1. A templated response implies that - a significant number of other people have raised the same concern;
2. An incomplete response implies that - Evidence or concerns raised in (my) letter have not been (fully) considered;
3. The suggestion of geoengineering not existing is a false, dismissive or ill-informed claim. Plentiful evidence of official sources includes scientific, government, military and also different countries.

An evidence-based approach is needed:

The following links provide readily accessible official, historical proof, reference and documentation to geoengineering existing and the official knowledge of it -

1. [Geo-engineering: Why or Why Not?](https://www.nasa.gov/centers/langley/news/researchernews/rn_robockfeature.html) - from the **NASA** (The National Aeronautics and Space Administration) website - NASA is an independent agency of the US Federal Government;
2. [Geo-engineering: Parts I, II and III](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-111hhrg53007/pdf/CHRG-111hhrg53007.pdf) - official **US Government** paper;
3. [Government Response to the Regulation of Geoengineering](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/228586/7936.pdf) \* - **UK Government** paper.

Call to action:

1. Ask them to look again at concerns raised, as per the above points;
2. Also, although geoengineering is documented widely and referred through official and Government channels, the risks of ejecting ‘substances’ into the atmosphere for both people and the environment are undocumented and unknown;
3. An evidence-based investigation is called for and I call upon you to take action regarding the impact of geoengineering.

Escalation contact - eg, Chairman of the Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology - Adam Afriyie

1. My local Councillors/MP have/(has) recommended to contact you, so that you can take appropriate action on this environmental and health concern;
2. By disregarding the information in my correspondence I believe that you are not fulfilling your duties as XXXXX;
3. Therefore, I call upon you as XXXXX to serve the people within your constituency through a thorough, evidence-based investigation in the best interest of the people. I believe that it is your duty to serve the people and to look into matters of concern in a professional and thorough manner.

I welcome your response following an honest, transparent and evidence-based investigation.

Yours sincerely,

NB: \*\* Not included in my letter, as I have just found this link now.